

A CASE STUDY OF THAILAND'S CEMENT INDUSTRY TO ACHIEVE THE 1.5°C TOWARDS 2050

Highlights

- The shared socioeconomic pathways (SSPs) and the extended NDC2050 scenario in Thailand's cement industry reveal difference in energy mixes and GHG emissions
- The use of alternative energy sources in cement production will increase other air pollutants
- The CCS technology in cement industry will play a vital role in the carbon tax scenarios
- The material substitution will play a key role in the process-related CO₂ emission reduction

Background

- The non-metallic industry dominated the highest fossil fuel consumption among the manufacturing industries (DEDE, 2018)
- Thailand's national inventory confirmed that the cement industry contributed the highest GHG emissions compared to other manufacturing industries (UNFCCC&MNRE,2018)
- In Southeast Asia, Thailand was ranked at the top three cement producer after Indonesia and Vietnam (USGS, 2014)
- The situation clearly showed that there will be a turning point and a saturated point of the domestic cement consumption in the upcoming decades (see Figure 1).
- There is a lack of long-term GHG emissions reduction perspectives in the cement industry.
- The extended NDC and the 1.5-degree target under the SSPs are needed in Thailand's cement industry in 2050



Objectives

- What is the cement demand under several economic and demographic development pathways in Thailand's cement industry?
- How Thailand's cement industry achieves 1.5°C by 2050

Acknowledgement

Authors would like to thank Sirindhorn International Institute of Technology of Thammasat University (SIIT-TU) for scholarships and the National Institute for Environmental Studies Japan (NIES) for the supports on the AIM/Enduse model.

Puttipong Chunark¹, Tatsuya Hanaoka², Bundit Limmeechokchai¹

¹ Sirindhorn International Institute of Technology, Thammasat University, Pathum Thani, 12120, Thailand ²National Institute for Environmental Studies, 16-2 Onogawa, Ibaraki, Tsukuba 305-8506, Japan

Methodology

- The Methodology consists of two main parts (see Figure 2). The first part is a projection of the cement demand, called "the cement demand model". The second part, called "an optimized bottom-up model", attempts to provide the optimized results using the AIM/Enduse model.
- The population and GDP projection are illustrated in Figure 3
- The AIM/Enduse cement is used in this study (see Figure 4)
- Figure 5 illustrates the simple material and energy flow diagram in Thailand cement industry • The Gompertz model is used to estimate the domestic cement demand. The export cement is assumed to be 15% of total cement production during 2020-2050.



DEDE (Department of Alternative Energy Development and Efficiency). (20 http://www.dede.go.th/ewt_news.php?nid=47340 UNFCCC (The United Nation Framework Convention on Climate Change), & MNRE (Ministr 2 - National Greenhouse Gas Inventory. In: Thailand's Third National Communication. Banc and Planning (ONEP) press.

USGS (United States Geological Survey). (2014). Cement production https://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/country/2014/myb3-sum-2014-asia-pacific.pdf

018).	Ener	gy St	atistics	Report.	Retrieved	from
ry of Jkok:	Natural Office c	Resou of Natur	rces and al Reso	d Environmer ources and Er	nt). (2018). Ch nvironmental l	napter Policy
in	Asia	and	the	Pacific.	Retrieved	from

Results

- Coal steadily continues its consumption pattern from 2020-2050 in the extended NDC scenario
- The environmental awareness results in the decline of the coal consumption in the SSP1 scenario.
- The SSP3 scenario consumes coal about 80% of total final energy consumption in 2050
- There are a substantial increases in the wasted tire and the biomass used as a cofiring fuel in the cement kiln in all SSP scenarios





Conclusions

- extended NDC in 2050.
- on the level of those taxes



Figure 6 The energy consumption in Thailand's cement industry

- The energy improvement and alternative fuel will be key measures in lowering the energy-related CO_2 emission in the NDC2050 scenario • The energy-related CO₂ emission will
- be reduced by the CCS technology; however, energy penalty will rise the CH_4 and N_2O emissions in the SSP cases (see Figure 7)

• The faster CCS deployment, the higher the cumulative CO₂ reduction will increase (see Figure 8) • In 2050, the energy penalty by the CCS technology will lower the energy intensity reduction (see Figure 9)

• The reduction in the clinker to cement ratio and the alternative fuels will play key roles in the • By imposing the CO₂ emission taxes, the CCS technology will be deployed. However, it depends